LETTER

An investigation on the thermodynamic stability of V₆Si₅

Chao Zhang · Jiong Wang · Yong Du · Wenqing Zhang

Received: 8 May 2007/Accepted: 18 May 2007/Published online: 7 June 2007 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2007

The V-Si system has been assessed by Smith [1, 2], and results were collected in recently published binary phase diagram handbook [3]. The thermodynamic optimizations for the system were also performed by Luoma [4], Rand and Saunders [5]. However, the thermodynamic stability of V_6Si_5 is still in question. Using the method of solid state diffusion, Hallais et al. [6] noted that formation of V_6Si_5 could not be achieved below 1000 °C. By means of bulk V-Si diffusion couples, Milanese et al. [7] found that there is a nucleation barrier for the formation of V₆Si₅ at 1150 °C. Based on the electromotive force (emf) measurement, Eremenko et al. [8] realized that the difficulty of achieving an equilibrium state of V-Si alloys containing the phase V₆Si₅ is indeed overdrawn. Using activity data deduced from the Knudsen cell-mass spectrometry, Storms and Myers [9] indicated the eutectoid decomposition temperature $(V_6Si_5 \Leftrightarrow V_5Si_3 + VSi_2)$ as 1160 ± 100 K. This temperature was mistakenly regarded to be 1433 K (1160 °C) in subsequent assessment [2] and thermodynamic optimizations [4, 5]. Here we prepared several alloys in the relevant composition ranges to investigate the thermodynamic stability of V₆Si₅. Additionally, a combined first-principles/CALPHAD (CALculation of PHAse Diagrams) approach is adopted to predict the decomposition temperature and a new set of thermodynamic parameters for vanadium silicides is obtained.

C. Zhang · J. Wang · Y. Du (⊠) State Key Laboratory of Powder Metallurgy, Central South University, Changsha, Hunan 410083, P.R. China e-mail: yong-du@mail.csu.edu.cn

W. Zhang

Two alloys (V₄₁Si₅₉ and V₇₃Si₂₇, in atomic percent) were prepared by arc-melting under argon, with 99.9% purity V and 99.99% purity Si. The alloy V₄₁Si₅₉ was annealed for 2 weeks at both 1000 °C and 700 °C. This temperature range covers the upper and the lower limits of the extrapolated decomposition temperature of V₆Si₅ [9]. The decomposition temperature is calculated to be 573 °C from the Gibbs energy functions assessed by Smith [2]. Therefore, another piece of the alloy V₄₁Si₅₉ was heat-treated at 500 °C for one month. The alloy V₇₃Si₂₇ was put into the same batch at 1000 °C, with a desire to check the possible contamination of interstitial elements. Every alloy was sealed in evacuated silica tubes under vacuum of 10^{-3} bar and then water-quenched after annealing.

To our surprise, the alloys $V_{41}Si_{59}$ annealed at 1000 °C, 700 °C and 500 °C show a two-phase mixture of V_6Si_5 and VSi_2 , according to X-ray powder diffraction (Fig. 1). This result indicts that the decomposition temperature of V_6Si_5 is below 500 °C. As the diffraction pattern of the alloy $V_{73}Si_{27}$ show that we obtained V_3Si plus the tetragonal $D8_m V_5Si_3$ which will transform to the hexagonal $D8_8$ with the addition of C, B, N and O [10], therefore, the contamination of interstitial elements is excluded in present experiments.

In order to further determine the relative stability of V_6Si_5 in the T = 0 K ground state, the state-of-art firstprinciples projector augmented plane-wave (PAW) calculations were applied to all intermetallic compounds of V–Si system using Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [11, 12], together with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof [13] generalized gradient approximation for the exchangecorrelation potential. A plane-wave cutoff energy was set to be 400 eV. k-point meshes for Brillouin zone sampling were constructed using the Monkhorst–Pack [14] scheme,

State Key Laboratory of High Performance Ceramics and Superfine Microstructure, Shanghai Institute of Ceramics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200050, P.R. China



Fig. 1 X-ray diffraction patterns of the alloys V₄₁Si₅₉ annealed at 1000 °C, 700 °C for 2 weeks and 500 °C for 1 month. Two-phase mixture of VSi₂ + V₆Si₅ was found in phase identification

and extensive tests were performed (using from $5 \times 5 \times 5$ to $15 \times 15 \times 15$ grids). Both the unit cell sizes and the ionic coordinates were fully relaxed to find the stable state. The total energy differences were converged to within 0.1 kJ/mole-atoms.

The T = 0 K enthalpy of formation (per atom) of the V_pSi_q can be calculated from the following equation:

$$\Delta H_f(V_p Si_q) = E(V_p Si_q) - (1 - x)E(V) - xE(Si)$$
(1)

where E(V), E(Si) and $E(V_pSi_q)$ are the calculated zerotemperature total energies (per atom) of Bcc V, Diamond Si and the corresponding intermetallic phase, respectively, each relaxed to its equilibrium geometry. Here, x = q/(p + q) is the atomic fraction of Si in these vanadium silicides.

The calculated enthalpies of formation (ΔH_f) are compared with experimental data at room temperature (Fig. 2). Except for VSi₂, first-principles calculated formation enthalpies agree well with the values measured by Eremenko et al. [8, 15, 16] using emf method. Recently, O'Hare et al. [17] remeasured the enthalpy of formation of VSi₂ by means of fluorine combustion calorimetry. The measured value of O'Hare et al. [17] is very close to the present firstprinciples calculation. As shown in Fig. 2, first-principles calculated ΔH_f of V₆Si₅ falls above the tie-line between those of V₅Si₃ and VSi₂. This indicates that V₆Si₅ is thermodynamically unstable at T = 0 K and its decomposition temperature is higher.

Due to the formidable computational work at finitetemperature and slow kinetics at low temperatures, an efficiently combined first-principles/CALPHAD hybrid method, which was well illustrated in Al–Sr system by Wolverton et al. [18], is employed to estimate the decomposition temperature of V₆Si₅. The Gibbs free energy for compound V_pSi_q is modeled as (J/mole-atoms)



Fig. 2 First-principles calculated enthalpies of formation for the compounds in the V–Si system, compared with the experimental data of Eremenko et al. [8, 15, 16] and O'Hare et al. [17]

$$G^{V_pSi_q} = (1 - x)^o G_V + x^o G_{Si} + a + bT$$
(2)

where ${}^{o}G_{V}$ and ${}^{o}G_{Si}$ is the molar Gibbs energy of pure V and Si in their stable structure at room temperature [19]. Accepting the parameters for liquid phase evaluated by Luoma [4], we optimized the parameters *a* and *b* for VSi₂, V₆Si₅ and V₅Si₃ respectively using the information on the congruent melting points and the invariant reactions [2]. The calculated enthalpies of formation at *T* = 0 K are set as the starting values for parameter *a* and limited to vary within ±1 kJ, which is the typical accuracy of first-principles calculations. All parameters generated in this work are listed in Table 1.

The general agreement between the calculated phase diagram in this work and that of Luoma [4] is excellent (Fig. 3). The decomposition temperature of V_6Si_5 is predicted to be 460 °C which is consistent with the present experimental result. Lozova et al. [20] did not find V_6Si_5 in the presented isothermal section of the Li–V–Si system at 297 °C. Thus the real decomposition temperature of the V_6Si_5 should be between 297 °C and 460 °C. Moreover, the predicted phase stability diagram using the present parameters is in good agreement with the experimental data reported by Storms and Myers [9] (Fig. 4). This is another check of the reliability of the presently obtained parameters.

 Table 1
 The optimized parameters for vanadium silicides based on the output of first-principles calculations and experimental phase diagram data

Phase	Eq. (2) a (J/mole-atoms)	Eq. (2) b (J/mole-atoms·K)
VSi ₂	-45913.1	3.79418
V ₆ Si ₅	-52220.5	4.44687
V ₅ Si ₃	-55072.0	5.35583



Fig. 3 Comparison between the calculated V–Si phase diagram of Luoma [4] (dash line) and the present work (solid line)



Fig. 4 Model-predicted phase stability diagram at 1650 K, compared with the experimental data of Storms and Myers [9]. (Dash line is calculated using the parameters of Luoma [4] and solid line comes from the present work). α_v is the activity of Vanadium. The reference state is bcc-V

The combined CALPHAD/first-principles approach shows its value to detect the eutectoid decomposition temperature of V_6Si_5 , which is confirmed experimentally to be stable down to 500 °C. The newly obtained parameters for the vanadium silicides provide a more precise description of the thermodynamic stability of V_6Si_5 .

Acknowledgements The financial supports from the National Outstanding Youth Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 50425103), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 06JJ20010) and the State Key Laboratory of High Performance Ceramics and Superfine Microstructure, Shanghai Institute of Ceramics (Grant No. SKL200606SIC) are acknowledged.

References

- 1. Smith JF (1981) Bull Alloy Phase Digarams 2:42
- 2. Smith JF (1985) Bull Alloy Phase Diagrams 6:266
- Smith JF (1990) In: Massalski TB (ed) Binary alloy phase diagrams, 2nd edn, vol 3. ASM Intl, Materials Park, Ohio
- Luoma R In: Thermodynamic analysis of the binary systems Mo–V, Ni–V, Si–V and Ti–V. (NTIS Report TKK-V-B76, 1992) p 19
- Rand MH, Saunders N (1998) In: Ansara I, Dinsdale AT, Rand MH (eds) COST 507: thermochemical database for light metal alloys, vol 2. European Communities, Luxembourg, p 270
- 6. Hallais J, Senateur JP, Fruchart R (1967) C R Acad Sci (Paris) 264:1947
- Milanese C, Buscaglia V, Maglia F, Anselmi-Tamburini U (2002) Acta Mater 50:1393
- Eremenko VN, Lukashenko GM, Sidorko VR, Kulik OG (1976) Dopov Akad Nauk Ukr RSR Ser A 38:365
- 9. Storms EK, Myers CE (1985) High Temp Sci 20:87
- 10. Parthe E, Nowotny H, Schmid H (1955) Monatsh Chem 86:385
- 11. Kress G, Furthmuller J (1996) Phys Rev B 54:111169
- 12. Kress G, Furthmuller J (1996) J Comput Mater Sci 6:15
- Perdew JP, Burke K, Ernzerhof M (1996) Phys Rev Lett 77: 3865
- 14. Monkhorst HJ, Pack JD (1972) Phys Rev B 13:5188
- Eremenko VN, Lukashenko GM, Sidorko VR (1974) Dopov Akad Nauk Ukr RSR Ser B 36:712
- Eremenko VN, Lukashenko GM, Sidorko VR (1975) Rev Intl Hautes Temp Refract 12:237
- O'Hare PAG, Watling K, Hope GA (1999) J Chem Thermodyn 31:385
- Wolverton C, Yan X-Y, Vijayaraghavan R, Ozolins V (2002) Acta Mater 50:2187
- 19. Dinsdale AT (1991) CALPHAD 15:317
- Lozova OA, Zatorska GM, Kevorkov DG, Pavlyuk VV (2001) Ukrainskii Khimicheskii Zhurnal 67:27